

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/661,188	GOERTZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Leigh McKane	1744

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Leigh McKane.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michelle Evans.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*those of record, in general*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 9*

Prior art documents discussed:

*of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Evans in response to the Amendment of 12/1/05. The examiner proposed amendments to the claims to clarify the claims and overcome the prior art of record. After consideration, Ms. Evans called the examiner back on 2/14/06 and approved the examiner's amendment. .